

## REMARKS

Reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested for the following reasons:

1. Interview

The Examiner is thanked for the courtesy extended during an interview at her office on February 8, 2007.

During the interview, the Examiner agreed that the above-amendments to the claims overcame the outstanding rejection. The Examiner noted that an updated search would still need to be performed.

In addition to discussing the prior art, the Examiner indicated during the interview that element 161 shown in Fig. 2 may be missing from the description. However, element 161 is described in line 31 on page 2. The specification has been carefully checked, and no other drawing elements were found to be missing.

2. Support for Amendments

Support for the amendments are as follows:

- The newly-added recitation that the cover has an outline that matches the outline of the body is supported by lines 22-24 on page 2 of the original specification, which state that: *"The carrier 16 can be inserted into the opening 113 such that the cover 15 can further constitute a smooth outline of the upper housing 11."* This description has modified to use the word "matches" in order to provide proper antecedence for the claim language, but the recitation is clearly not new matter.
- The newly-added recitation that the batteries are inserted into the opening "together with the battery" is supported by the original drawings (see, *e.g.*, Fig. 2) and the description of the carrier 16 as including grooves 161 for containing batteries 17 (lines 31-35 on page 2), the carrier allowing the user to replace the batteries by drawing out the power-supply

Serial Number 10/620,334

module from the opening “*as if pulling out a drawer*” (line 30 on page 2). The description has been modified to use the phrase “together with the battery,” but the addition also is clearly not new matter.

3. Rejections of Claims

The rejection of claims 1-8 under 35 USC §103(a) has been overcome by the amendments to claim 1.

The rejection of claims 6-8 under 35 USC §112, 2<sup>nd</sup> Paragraph has been overcome by the amendment of 6 to recite a –wireless input device– rather than a “pointing device,” and by the amendment of claim 8 to also recite a –wireless input device– and to depend from claim 1.

Having thus overcome the sole rejection made in the Official Action, withdrawal of the rejection and expedited passage of the application to issue is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

BACON & THOMAS, PLLC



By: BENJAMIN E. URCIA  
Registration No. 33,805

Date: February 28, 2007

BACON & THOMAS, PLLC  
625 Slaters Lane, 4th Floor  
Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Telephone: (703) 683-0500

NWB.S:\Producer\bauf\Pending 1...PJ\LD\NX 620334\w03.wpd